

## REMARKS

The foregoing election is made in response to a restriction requirement imposed by the Office Action of February 1, 2006, and is to confirm Applicant's counsel's conversation with Examiner Gembel on February 21, 2006. In this respect, the Examiner indicated that Claims 12-14 and 16 were directed to multiple independent and allegedly patentably distinct inventions. Moreover, Applicant was directed to select a single chemical species for prosecution.

By this response, Applicant's counsel confirms an oral conversation held with the Examining Attorney on February 21, 2006 in which it was agreed to withdraw Claims 12-14 and 16. Applicant further elects to prosecute the chemical species depicted in Formula 2 and hereby makes his election without traverse.


Accordingly, Applicant requests that such claims elected herein be substantively examined. To the extent the Examiner has any questions or requires additional information, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's counsel at the number listed below.

If any additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account Number 19-4330.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 3/23/06

Customer No.: 007663

By: 

Matthew A. Newboles  
Registration No. 36,224  
STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER  
75 Enterprise, Suite 250  
Aliso Viejo, California 92656  
Telephone: (949) 855-1246